



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,009	04/21/2004	Diane T. Stephenson	PHA 42630.1 (01381/1/US)	6778
321	7590	05/19/2008	EXAMINER	
SENNIGER POWERS LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102				HUI, SAN MING R
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1617				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/829,009	STEPHENSON ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
San-ming Hui	1617	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) San-ming Hui. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Docketing department of the law firm of record. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/San-ming Hui/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner tried to contact the patenting department of Senniger, Powers, Leavitt & Roedel and found out that the case has been transferred. Since there is no name of contact given, the examiner tried to call the phone number obtained from Senniger, Powers, Leavitt & Roedel for status inquiry; however, no further information can be gathered with regard to the status of the case. .